

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,855		12/08/2003	Kenneth R. Wilkes	4246		
	7590	07/21/2006		EXAMINER		
Saul Epstein			OLIVA, BRYAN EMELIO			
14558 Deerva	le Place					
Sherman Oaks	, CA	91403	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3727		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			355	WILKES, KENNETH R.					
			r	Art Unit					
		Bryan H.		3727					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE INSIDE OF THE PROPERTY	MAILING DATE OF T is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. latutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tim will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	 hely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .							
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,5,7,8,12 and 14</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) 2-4, 6, 9-11 and 13 is/are	objected to.							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
A Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/08/03,10/12/05 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/08/03,10/12/05 Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/731,855 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to an article, classified in class 383, subclass 120.
- Claim 15-19, drawn to a method, classified in class 493, subclass unknown.
- 2. Inventions of claims 15-19 and 1-14 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case of claims 1-14, the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process to form a triangular body by folding a single sheet of flexible material. Furthermore, the product claimed can be made by cutting away excess material before forming the perimeter seams.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Saul Epstein on July 5, 2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of a triangularly shaped bottle, claims 1-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 15-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,855 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The listing of references US 4,415,085 and US 4,732,299 in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 6 line 3 and 13 line 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: The language "portions portions" in the claims is redundant Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with

37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 12, and 14 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory

Page 4

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 11,

12, 14, 17 and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,832,852 to Wilkes in view of U.S. Patent No.

3,367,380 to Dickey.

Wilkes discloses a flexible bottle comprising a front panel, rear panel, and 2

gusseted side panels. The Wilkes patent does not disclose a triangular collapsible

container. However, Dickey discloses both a rectangular collapsible container in Figure

26, as well as a triangular collapsible container comprising gusseted side panels and a

neck section as illustrated in Figure 30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art to remove one of the side gusseted panels in Wilkes, as taught by Dickey,

in order to reduce material costs of the bottle.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2-4, 6, 9-11, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bryan H. Oliva whose telephone number is (571) 272-

8991. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8am-5pm with alternate

Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,855

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

вно

JES F. PASCUA
PRIMARY EXAMINER